



SHRINE LONDON

Q1-26

Special Report

***“A CFO’s Guide to
Leadership Alignment
When Pace Outruns
Structure”***

Authored By:
Glenn Dobson

Growth Looks Impressive Right Up Until It Isn't.

Growth is usually welcomed with enthusiasm and narrated as progress, but inside the organisation it changes the conditions under which leadership operates far more quickly than most teams expect. Information multiplies, decisions ripple across functions, and the tolerance for ambiguity drops sharply. What once felt manageable through informal coordination now requires structure, because pace exposes every assumption the organisation has been leaning on without realising it.

For a CFO, the early signals are familiar. Forecasts begin to show movement that cannot be explained purely by trading conditions. Commitments are made faster than capacity adjusts. Teams act with good intent but different interpretations of priority. From the outside, the organisation looks busy and ambitious. From the inside, coherence starts to thin. This is usually the point where leadership effort increases just as clarity begins to slip.

The mistake many organisations make is assuming that growth itself is the challenge. In practice, growth is the catalyst. The real challenge is whether the leadership group adapts its behaviour, structure, and communication fast enough to carry the pace it has created.

When Activity Increases Faster Than Sense.

High pace environments rarely arrive as a single moment. They accumulate. Opportunity expands. Hiring accelerates. Systems are stretched. Investor expectations sharpen. Boards ask reasonable questions at inconvenient times. Each pressure is manageable on its own. Together, they create an environment where coordination matters more than competence.

Misalignment tends to spread quietly. Priorities drift rather than clash. Departments move at slightly different speeds. Communication becomes interpretive instead of precise. Meetings increase without producing resolution. The organisation looks active, but activity becomes a substitute for progress. This is usually when people start working harder while results become harder to explain.

The CFO sees this pattern early because it shows up in sequencing failures, duplicated effort, and financial noise. This is not a failure of intelligence or commitment. It is what happens when pace overtakes structure. Left unaddressed, it becomes expensive. Addressed early, it becomes manageable.

At Some Point, This Stops Being a Leadership Issue and Starts Being a Finance One

Alignment has a habit of being discussed in abstract terms, usually just before it becomes unavoidable. For a long time, misalignment can be framed as a leadership or communication problem, something to be addressed through meetings, clarification, or a well-meaning reset. Eventually, it shows up somewhere more concrete. Variance increases. Forecasts become harder to hold. Costs drift away from intent. Delivery slows for reasons that are difficult to isolate. At that point, alignment stops being theoretical and starts becoming financial.

When leaders share priorities, assumptions, and timing, organisations behave predictably. Planning improves. Cost control tightens without anyone explicitly trying to tighten it. Execution becomes quieter and more consistent. Productivity rises without fanfare. For a CFO, this is the point. Alignment reduces variability, and reduced variability is one of the most valuable assets a business can have when pace increases.

Misalignment works in the opposite direction, but rarely announces itself loudly. It introduces cost before it introduces drama. Spending patterns lose coherence because sequencing is unclear. Projects stall because ownership is diffuse. Teams duplicate effort without realising they are doing so. Results begin to reflect behavioural fragmentation rather than market conditions. The CFO then finds themselves explaining outcomes that were shaped less by strategy and more by drift, usually to people who still believe the plan is sound.

Approaching alignment as a structural discipline changes how these conversations land. It allows the CFO to talk about sequencing, capacity, and predictability rather than personalities or intent. It reframes alignment as an enabler of execution rather than a constraint on ambition. Senior teams tend to respond well to this framing, particularly those who prefer results to rhetoric and clarity to reassurance.

The Things Everyone Assumes Are Fine Until They Very Clearly Aren't.

Most organisations operate on a set of implicit assumptions about how decisions are made, how priorities are set, and how information moves. These assumptions often hold during periods of stability. Under pressure, they start to fracture. What was once taken for granted becomes contested, usually without anyone explicitly acknowledging the shift.

Alignment problems rarely announce themselves as alignment problems. They surface as delays, misunderstandings, rework, and frustration. Leaders assume others see the situation the same way they do. Teams assume priorities are shared. The organisation assumes coherence where none has been deliberately maintained.

The CFO is often the first to recognise that these assumptions are no longer safe. Their vantage point exposes the gaps between intention and execution. This is the moment where alignment needs to be addressed explicitly, before it becomes normalised as “just how things are now.”

Numbers Were Meant to Clarify Things, Not Start Arguments.

Technical alignment sounds straightforward until it isn't. Numbers are meant to create shared understanding, yet under pressure they often do the opposite. Different assumptions, different time horizons, and different incentives turn data into a source of friction rather than clarity.

When technical alignment is strong, leadership discussions are grounded. Forecasts are understood as tools rather than weapons. Constraints are visible. Trade-offs are explicit. Decisions are made with a shared understanding of reality. When it is weak, meetings become debates about whose numbers are right rather than what needs to be done.

The CFO's role here is not to win arguments but to establish a common starting point. Once leaders are working from the same interpretation of the data, alignment elsewhere becomes materially easier. Without that base, everything downstream becomes harder than it needs to be.

Decisions Keep Getting Made - Results Are Optional.

Structural misalignment is where good intentions go to die quietly. Decisions are taken, actions are agreed, and momentum appears to exist. Outcomes, however, remain inconsistent. Ownership is unclear. Sequencing is muddled. Accountability diffuses.

This is rarely because people are unwilling. It is because structure has not kept pace with ambition. Decisions lack a clear path into execution. Priorities compete rather than reinforce each other. The organisation becomes skilled at deciding and poor at delivering.

For the CFO, structural alignment is about making sure decisions have somewhere to land. Clear ownership, realistic sequencing, and an agreed decision cadence turn intent into results. Without this, even strong leadership teams find themselves working hard without moving very far.

This Is Usually Where Behaviour Changes First.

Pressure reveals behaviour long before it reveals performance. Tone shifts. Communication shortens. Follow-through becomes inconsistent. Leaders retreat into familiar patterns. None of this is malicious. It is human.

Cultural alignment in this context is not about values statements or workshops. It is about consistency. How leaders behave when information is incomplete. How they speak when pressure rises. How they respond when plans start to bend.

The CFO influences this more than they often realise. Calm, structured communication sets a reference point. Measured decision-making signals stability. Over time, this behaviour becomes contagious. Culture follows conduct, not intention.

Busy Is Not the Same Thing as Effective.

Noise thrives in high pace environments. Conflicting messages, shifting priorities, and constant urgency consume leadership attention and erode confidence. Teams stay active, but effectiveness declines.

Predictability is the antidote. Stable planning cycles, clear communication, and consistent decision rules allow the organisation to move quickly without losing control. This does not slow momentum. It removes friction.

For a CFO, predictability is not an aesthetic preference. It is a performance advantage. Organisations that can predict themselves can plan, invest, and execute with far greater confidence.

Early Drift Has a Remarkable Talent for Becoming Permanent.

The first ninety days of a growth phase matter more than most leaders admit. Patterns established early tend to stick, even when they are suboptimal. Drift that feels temporary becomes embedded behaviour.

This is where the CFO's influence is decisive. Early clarity around sequencing, capacity, and priorities shapes how the organisation adapts. Delay rarely improves the situation. Early alignment saves time, cost, and political capital later.

Pressure Has a Way of Sorting People Very Quickly.

High pace environments reveal leadership depth with remarkable efficiency. Some individuals rise. Others struggle. Gaps become visible. This is uncomfortable, but useful.

The CFO sees this through outcomes rather than personality. Who delivers under pressure. Who creates friction. Who brings clarity. Who amplifies noise. This perspective is invaluable when deciding where structure needs reinforcement and where leadership capability needs support.

Ignoring these signals rarely ends well.

Things Improve Once Everyone Stops Pulling in Different Directions.

When leadership alignment holds, progress feels different. Decisions connect. Communication sharpens. Execution stabilises. Financial performance becomes easier to explain because it reflects intent rather than chaos.

Alignment does not eliminate challenge. It removes unnecessary struggle. It allows ambition to be carried without the organisation fighting itself.

This is the quiet advantage that rarely makes headlines but reliably determines whether growth becomes sustainable or exhausting.

Where All This Leaves the CFO.

At pace, leadership alignment stops being an abstract concern and becomes a practical requirement for coherence. Decisions travel faster, consequences arrive sooner, and small inconsistencies that would once have been absorbed quietly now have a habit of announcing themselves in the numbers. The role of the CFO evolves accordingly, not through any formal expansion of remit or sudden change in job description, but through the simple reality that someone must hold the centre while everything else accelerates around it. This usually happens without ceremony and often without discussion. It simply becomes obvious that if the organisation is going to stay upright, someone needs to be paying attention to how all the moving parts connect.

What this guide has described is not a new set of responsibilities, but a clearer way of understanding the ones that already exist. Alignment shows up in forecasting accuracy, in sequencing, in the quality and timing of decisions, and in how quietly or noisily the organisation executes. When alignment is present, progress feels deliberate and strangely calmer than expected. When it is absent, effort increases, meetings multiply, explanations lengthen, and confidence declines even as activity remains high. This is usually the point at which everyone is working very hard while privately wondering why it feels harder than it should.

The CFO does not create alignment alone, and nor should they be expected to. They are, however, often the first to recognise when it is slipping and the best placed to influence how it is restored, largely because they can see the effects before they are rebranded as something else. Structure, clarity, and predictability are not brakes on ambition. They are the conditions that allow ambition to be carried without the organisation fighting itself at every step.

When those conditions are in place, growth becomes less about holding on and more about moving forward with intent. The organisation still moves quickly, but it does so with fewer surprises and less unnecessary strain.

At that point, the CFO's quiet work tends to go largely unnoticed, which is usually how you know it is working.

About us.

Shrine London provides discreet integration support and deal-side advisory for business leaders, executive teams, and investors involved in complex acquisitions or structural growth.

Our role is to stabilise transition and strengthen the connection between financial intent and operational reality. Each engagement focuses on clarity of plan, alignment of people, and disciplined execution through every phase of the transaction.

Shrine operates alongside leadership, board representatives, and external counsel to bring order, pace, and continuity to integration. The emphasis is on real-world progress and the preservation of both value and reputation.

Glenn Dobson leads the practice following more than three decades of building, scaling, and selling companies across 22 countries, including a global brand acquired as part of a £35M group. Named CEO of the Year in 2025, he continues to advise senior executives on growth, control, and composure through change.

Engagements are selective and fully confidential. Shrine London works quietly, embedding structure and stability into the moments that define an organisation's next chapter.

Direct contact with Mr Dobson is available on request.



Phone Number

+44 208 064 0072



Email Address

hello@shrinelondon.com



Website

www.shrinelondon.com